

The Corsham School Academy Group Freedom of Information Part of the Personnel Policies

Rationale

The Freedom of Information Act came fully into force on 1 January 2005. From this date there is a legal right for any person to ask a school for access to information held by that school. Written requests for information can be made under:

- Freedom of Information Act
- Data Protection Act 2018 (referred to in this policy as the Data Protection Act);
- Environmental Legislation
- Or a combination of any of them.

Schools have a duty to provide advice and assistance to anyone requesting information under any of the above.

The procedure below allows the governing body to comply with the Act and to inform all staff of the need to comply with the terms of the Act.

Procedure for Requesting Information

- 1. The Corsham School is already providing much information on request or has sought to make it available through such means as its website. This procedure is not designed to end the processes by which information is published and made available. The school wishes to promote openness and accountability and will seek to make the process for requesting information as straightforward as possible.
- 2. The Governing Body has delegated the day to day responsibility for Freedom of Information Act policy to the Headteacher, to whom in the first instance requests should be addressed. The Headteacher has nominated the Business Manager to be responsible for the administration of procedures.
- 3. Requests for information must be made in writing, which can include faxes and emails. The request does not have to specifically mention the Freedom of Information Act. The request must include
 - the enquirer's name and address (an email address is permissible)
 - a description of the information requested
- 4. The school will then confirm whether or not it holds the information requested (duty to confirm or deny).
- 5. The school will not provide the information requested if

- it does not hold the information
- the information has already been made public e.g. through the school website
- the information is held by another body (the request will be transferred to them)
- the duty to provide the information is subject to exemptions in the Act
- the request is vexatious or repeated
- personal information about a third party where its release would breach the Data Protection Act. In some cases it may be possible to remove personal references in a document using the redaction procedure.
- 6. The school will provide the information requested if
 - it is satisfied that the request falls within the terms of the Freedom of Information Act
 - it holds the information requested. Records and information are kept in accordance with the guidelines provided by the Records Management Society of Great Britain
 - there is no breach of the Data Protection Act
 - no other exemptions apply.

Timescales

- 1. A request for information will be dealt with within 20 working days (excluding school holidays). The 20 day period is calculated from the date on which the request is received. If further information from the enquirer is needed the 20 day period commences from the date on which the additional information is received.
- 2. The receipt of the request will be acknowledged.
- 3. If there is a need to delay replying because of the need to consult a third party, an exemption may apply and time is needed to apply the public interest test then the enquirer should be informed, within the 20 day period of the delay. Normally the extension of time should not be more than 10 working days beyond the expiry of the original 20 days.
- 4. If a payment is required and the enquirer has been notified, the time period stops until payment is received and then continues once payments has been received.

Procedure in the event of a refusal to provide information

- 1. If the information is not be provided, the person dealing with the request must immediately contact the person in the school delegated with the responsibility for Freedom of Information to ensure that the case has been properly considered and the reasons for refusal are sound. If it is decided to refuse a request, a refusals notice will be sent, to contain
 - the fact that the responsible person cannot provide the information asked for
 - which exemption(s) has applied (see Appendix 1)
 - why the exemption(s) apply to this enquiry (if it is not self evident)
 - reasons for refusal if based on cost of compliance

- in the case of non-absolute exemptions, how you have applied the public interest test (Appendix 2), specifying the public interest factors taken into account before reaching the decision
- reasons for refusal on vexatious or repeated grounds
- the internal complaints procedure.

Complaints Procedure

- 1. Any written (including email) expression of dissatisfaction even if it does not specifically seek a review should be handled through the school's existing complaints procedure. The school maintains records of all complaints and their outcome.
- 2. When the original request has been reviewed and the outcome is that the information should be disclosed this should be done as soon as practicable. When the outcome is that procedures within the school have not been properly followed, then procedures will be reviewed to prevent any recurrence. When the outcome upholds the school's original decision or action, the applicant should be informed of their right to appeal to the Information Commissioner. The appeal should be made in writing to

FOI Compliance Team (complaints)
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

Charging

The legislation provides the Governing Body with the right to make a charge for providing information. The Corsham School Governing Body will not make a charge for information that has previously been made available, nor will it make a charge for simply providing a single copy.

The Governors are mindful of the use of public money and as such reserve the right to charge if

- multiple documents have to be provided
- there is more than one request for information
- the gathering, copying, collation and dispatch of documents is likely to take more than 30 minutes.

Scale of charges

Copying (per sheet)	10p
Postage	£2.00
Administrative Charge (per 15 mins)	£10
Multiple documents sent by email	£1.00

Enquirers will be informed if a charge applies and of what the total charge will be. The request will only be processed once the fee has been received.

Freedom of Information Act 2000. Appendix 1 Exemptions

Note: This Appendix is taken from the DfES Guide for Maintained Schools on Full Implementation from January 2005.

- 1. Although decisions on disclosure should be made on a presumption of openness, the FOI Act recognises the need to preserve confidentiality and protect sensitive material in some circumstances.
- 2. You cannot withhold information in response to a valid request **unless** one of the following applies
 - an exemption to disclosure, or
 - the information sought is not held, or
 - the request is considered vexatious or repeated or
 - the cost of compliance exceeds the threshold (see Appendix 4).

The duty to confirm or deny

- 3. A person applying for information has the right to be told if the information requested is held by the school, and if that is the case to have the information sent (subject to any of the exemptions). This obligation is known as the school's "duty to confirm or deny" that it holds the information. However, the school does not have to confirm or deny if
 - the exemption is an absolute exemption (see paragraph 6), or
 - in the case of qualified exemptions (see paragraph 8), confirming or denying would itself disclose exempted information.

Exemptions

- 4. A series of exemptions are set out in the Act which allow the withholding of information in relation to an enquiry. Some are very specialised in their application (such as national security) and would not usually be relevant to schools. There are more than 20 exemptions but schools are likely to use only a few of them.
- 5. There are two general categories of exemptions

Absolute where there is no requirement to confirm or deny that the information is held, disclose the information or consider the public interest; and

Qualified where, even if an exemption applies, there is a duty to consider the public interest in disclosing information.

What are the Absolute Exemptions?

- 6. There are 8 absolute exemptions listed in the Act. Even where an absolute exemption applies
 - it does not mean that you can't disclose in all cases; it means that disclosure is not required by the Act. A decision could be taken to ignore the exemption and release the information taking into account all the facts of the case
 - there is still a legal obligation to provide reasonable advice and assistance to the enquirer.
- 7. The absolute exemptions in the Act are set out below. **Those which might be relevant to schools are marked** *
- 7.1 Information accessible to the enquirer by other means* (Section 21)

If information is reasonably accessible to the applicant by another route than the Act, it is exempt information. This is the case even if the enquirer would have to pay for the information under that alternative route. This exemption includes cases where you are required to give information under other legislation, or where the information is available via the Publication Scheme.

7.2 **Information dealing with security matters** (Section 23) (see also qualified exemption under Section 24 on national security)

This applies to information directly or indirectly supplied by, or relating to, bodies dealing with security matters such as GCHQ, MI5, MI6, Special Forces and the National Criminal Intelligence Service.

7.3 **Court records** (Section 32) – (see also the qualified exemption under Section 30 concerning investigations and proceedings conducted by public authorities) This applies to information related to proceedings in a court or tribunal or served on a public authority for the purposes of proceedings.

7.4 **Parliamentary Privilege** (Section 34)

This exempts information if it is required for the purpose of avoiding an infringement of the Parliamentary privilege. Parliamentary privilege is an immunity whereby MPs cannot be prosecuted for sedition or sued for libel or slander over anything said during proceedings in the House.

7.5 **Prejudice to the effective conduct of public affairs** (Section 36) - see also the qualified exemption part of Section 36

This relates to the maintenance of the collective responsibility of Ministers.

- 7.6 **Personal information*** (Section 40) see also the qualified exemption part of Section 40. Where enquirers ask to see information about themselves, this is exempt under the Act because it is covered by the Data Protection Act. Consult your existing school Data Protection guidance.
- 7.7 **Information provided in confidence*** (Section 41)

This relates to information obtained from a person if its disclosure would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by that, or another, person.

7.8 **Prohibitions on disclosure*** (Section 44)

Information is exempt where its disclosure is prohibited under any other legislation by order of a court or where it would constitute a contempt of court or where it is incompatible with any EC obligation.

What are the Qualified Exemptions?

8. With qualified exemptions, even if it is decided that an exemption applies, there is a duty to consider the public interest in confirming or denying that the information exists and in disclosing information. Guidance on carrying out the public interest test is at Annex C. The qualified exemptions in the Act are set out below. **Those which might be relevant to schools are marked with an *:**

8.1 **Information intended for future publication*** (Section 22)

If at the time the request was made, information is held with a view to publication, then it is exempt from disclosure if it is reasonable that it should not be disclosed until the intended date of publication. This could apply for instance to statistics published at set intervals, for example annually or where information is incomplete and it would be inappropriate to publish prematurely¹. Remember, you still have a legal duty to provide reasonable advice and assistance.

8.2 **National security** (Section 24) (see also absolute exemption 23) Information is exempt for the purposes of safeguarding national security.

8.3 **Defence** (Section 26)

Information is exempt if its disclosure would prejudice the defence of the UK.

8.4 **International relations** (Section 27)

Information is exempt if its disclosure would or would be likely to, prejudice relations between the UK and any other state, international organisation.

8.5 Relations within UK (Section 28)

Information is exempt if its disclosure would or would be likely to, prejudice relations between any administration in the UK ie the Government, Scottish Administration, Northern Ireland Assembly, or National Assembly of Wales.

8.6 **The economy** (Section 29)

¹ Note the following:-

[•] the intended publication does not have to be by the school, it can be by another person or body on behalf of the school

[•] the date of publication does not have to be known, it could be at some future date (although it is recommended that some idea of a likely date is given)

[•] the duty to confirm or deny does not apply if to do so would involve the disclosure of any of the relevant information

Information is exempt if its disclosure would, or would be likely to, prejudice the economic or financial interests of the UK.

8.7 **Investigations and proceedings conducted by public authorities*** (Section 30) Information is exempt if it has at any time been held by the school for the purposes of criminal investigations or proceedings, such as determining whether a person should be charged with an offence or whether a charged person is guilty, or investigations which may lead to a decision to institute criminal proceedings. The duty to confirm or deny does not apply to such information.

8.8 Law enforcement* (Section 31)

Information which is not exempt under Section 30 Investigations and Proceedings, may be exempt under this exemption in the event that disclosure would, or would be likely to, prejudice the following among others

- the prevention or detection of crime
- the apprehension or prosecution of offenders
- the administration of justice
- the exercise of functions such as ascertaining if a person has broken the law, is responsible for improper conduct, whether circumstances justify regulatory action, ascertaining a person's fitness or competence in relation to their profession, ascertaining the cause of an accident or protecting or recovering charities or its properties
- any civil proceedings brought by or on behalf of the school which arise out of an investigation carried out for any of the purposes mentioned above.

The duty to confirm or deny does not arise where prejudice would result to any of these matters.

8.9 Audit Functions (Section 33)

Information is exempt if its disclosure would, or would be likely to, prejudice the exercise of an authority's functions in relation to the audit of the accounts of other public authorities. It does not apply to internal audit reports.

8.10 Formulation of government policy (Section 35)

Information held is exempt information if it relates to the formulation or development of government policy, ministerial communications, advice by Law Officers (eg Attorney General) and the operation of any Ministerial private office

8.11 **Prejudice to the conduct of public affairs** (Section 36) (excluding matters covered by the absolute exemption part of Section 36)

Information likely to prejudice the maintenance of the convention of the collective responsibility of Ministers or likely to inhibit the free and frank provision of advice or exchange of views

8.12 **Communications with the Queen*** (Section 37)

Information is exempt if it relates to communications with the Queen, the Royal Family or Royal Household or if it relates to the award of honours. The duty to confirm or deny does not arise where this exemption applies.

8.13 **Health and Safety*** (Section 38)

Information is exempt if its disclosure would or would be likely to endanger the safety or physical or mental health of any individual. The duty to confirm or deny does not arise where prejudice would result.

8.14 Environmental information* (Section 39)

Information is exempt under FOI where it is covered by the Environmental Information Regulations. Environmental information can cover information relating to: air, water, land, natural sites, built environment, flora and fauna, and health. It also covers all information relating to decisions or activities affecting any of these.

8.15 **Personal information*** (Section 40) – see also the absolute exemption part of Section 40 Where an individual seeks information about themselves Data Protection Act powers apply.

Where the information concerns a third party, it is exempt if its disclosure would contravene the Data Protection Act, or the data protection principles; or if the person to whom the information relates would not have a right of access to it because it falls under one of the exemptions to the Data Protection Act. The duty to confirm or deny does not arise in relation to this information if doing so would be incompatible with any of the above.

8.16 **Legal professional privilege*** (Section 42)

Legal professional privilege covers any advice given by legal advisers, solicitors and barristers. Generally such information will be privileged. A school wishing to disclose the information will need to seek consent from the provider of the advice. This exemption covers all such information where a claim to legal professional privilege can be maintained in legal proceedings. The duty to confirm or deny does not arise where to do so would involve the disclosure of such information.

8.17 **Commercial interests*** (Section 43)

Information is exempt if it constitutes a trade secret or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of any person or body (including the school). The duty to confirm or deny does not arise where prejudice would result to commercial interests but not where the information constitutes a trade secret.

Protective Markings and Applying Exemptions

9. When considering if an exemption to disclosure should apply, bear in mind that the presence of a protective marking (Restricted, Confidential or Secret, with or without descriptors such as Staff, Management, Commercial etc) does not constitute an exemption and is not in itself sufficient grounds on which to prevent disclosure. Each case must be considered on its merits.

Timing

10. Where information has previously been withheld, it must not be assumed that any subsequent requests for the same information will also be refused. Sensitivity of information decreases with age and the impact of any disclosure will be different depending on when the request is received. Therefore, for each request, it will be necessary to consider the harm that could result at the time of the request and, while

taking into account any previous exemption applications, each case should be considered separately.

Next steps

11. In all cases, before writing to the enquirer, the person given responsibility for FOI by the school governing body will need to ensure that the case has been properly considered, and that the reasons for refusal, or public interest test refusal, are sound.

Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Appendix 2 Applying the Pubic Interest Test

Note: This Appendix is taken from the DfES Guide for Maintained Schools on Full Implementation from January 2005.

Background

1. Having established that a qualified exemption(s) definitely applies to a particular case, you must then carry out a public interest test to identify if the public interest in applying the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing it. Therefore, unless it is in the public interest to withhold the information, it has to be released. Although precedent and a developed case law will play a part, individual circumstances will vary and each case will need to be considered on its own merits.

Carrying out the test

2. It is worth noting that what is in the public interest is not necessarily the same as that which may be of interest to the public. It may be irrelevant that a matter may be the subject of public curiosity. In most cases it will be relatively straightforward to decide where the balance of the public interest in disclosure lies. However, there will inevitably be cases where the decision is a difficult one. Applying such a test depends to a high degree on objective judgement and a basic knowledge of the subject matter and its wider impact in the school and possibly wider. Factors that might be taken into account when weighing the public interest include:-

For Disclosure	Against Disclosure
Is disclosure likely to increase access to	Is disclosure likely to distort public reporting
information held by the school?	or be misleading because it is incomplete?
Is disclosure likely to give the reasons for a	Is premature disclosure likely to prejudice
decision or allow individuals to understand	fair scrutiny, or release sensitive issues still
decisions affecting their lives or assist them in	on the internal agenda or evolving?
challenging those decisions?	
Is disclosure likely to improve the	Is disclosure likely to cause unnecessary
accountability and transparency of the school	public alarm or confusion?
in the use of public funds and help to show	
that it obtains value for money?	
Is disclosure likely to contribute to public	Is disclosure likely to seriously jeopardise
debate and assist the understanding of	the school's legal or contractual position?

existing or proposed policy?	
Is disclosure likely to increase public	Is disclosure likely to infringe other
participation in decision-making?	legislation e.g. Data Protection Act?
Is disclosure likely to increase public	Is disclosure likely to create a controversial
participation in political processes in general?	precedent on the release of information or
	impair your ability to obtain information in
	the future?
Is disclosure likely to bring to light	Is disclosure likely to adversely affect the
information affecting public safety?	school's proper functioning and discourage
	openness in expressing opinions?
Is disclosure likely to reduce further enquiries	If a large amount of information on the topic
on the topic?	has already been made available, would
	further disclosure shed any more light or
	serve any useful purpose?

3. Note also that

- potential or actual embarrassment to, or loss of confidence in, the school, staff or governors is NOT a valid factor
- the fact that the information is technical, complex to understand and may be misunderstood may not of itself be a reason to withhold information
- the potential harm of releasing information will reduce over time and should be considered at the time the request is made rather than by reference to when the relevant decision was originally taken
- the balance of the public interest in disclosure cannot always be decided on the basis of whether the disclosure of particular information would cause harm, but on certain higher order considerations such as the need to preserve confidentiality of internal discussions
- a decision not to release information may be perverse i.e. would a decision to withhold information because it is not in the public interest to release it, itself result in harm to public safety, the environment or a third party?
- 4. You will need to record the answers to these questions and the reasons for those answers. Deciding on the public interest is not simply a matter of adding up the number of relevant factors on each side. You need to decide how important each factor is in the circumstances and go on to make an overall assessment.

For Disclosure

5. Where the balance of the public interest lies in disclosure, the enquiry should be dealt with and the information required should be made available. Where the factors are equally-balanced, the decision should usually favour disclosure (but see 3rd bullet point above).

Against Disclosure

6. After carrying out the public interest test if it is decided that the exemption should still apply, proceed to reply to the request.

There will be occasions when it has been decided that a qualified exemption applies but consideration of the public interest test may take longer. In such a case, you must contact the enquirer within 20 working days stating that a particular exemption applies, but including an estimate of the date by which a decision on the public interest test will be made. This should be within a "reasonable" time – in practice, it is recommended this decision is made and communicated within the 20 days but where not possible it is suggested that no more than 10 working days beyond the 20 days should be allowed.

This Policy has been workload impact assessed, and is operational from: September

2005

Date of last review: October 2019 Date of next review: October 2020